

Minutes

of the Parish Council meeting held on

Wednesday 05 April 2023, at 7pm, in the Parish Room.

Present: Councillors Gemma Allan, Nikki da Costa, Ian Duffy, Vinny Hall, Martin Hoffman, Graham Lee (Chair), Norton Mahy (Vice -chair) and David Sims. Cllr Mark White joined the meeting on Zoom.

Also in attendance: Clerk (Jane Porter), Deputy Clerk (Sarah Mitchell), District Councillor Tom Tyson and County Councillor Steve Jarvis. Thirty-four members of the public were present and eighteen also joined on Zoom for parts of the meeting. Two representatives of Jarvis Homes Ltd attended. See item 87.1.

Following a request from a parishioner it was agreed that the meeting could be recorded.

The Chair, Graham Lee, noted that this was the last meeting of the present Parish Council. Two parish councillors, Gemma Allan and Mark White, would not be standing for re-election and he thanked both for their much -valued input. Mark had held the position of Chair for a significant period.

84 Apologies for absence from parish councillors. None.

85 Declarations of interest. None.

86 Proposal to approve and sign the minutes of the Council meeting 01 March 2023. It was agreed that these be signed. **Action: Clerk**

87 OPEN FORUM - VILLAGE MATTERS, REPORTS FROM OTHER BODIES, ETC

Standing Orders suspended to allow members of the public to speak.

87.1 Planning application to NHC by Jarvis Homes Ltd for Dixies Meadow, High Street. Full Planning Permission: Erection of 12 dwellings with associated hard and soft landscaping, creation of vehicular access, public open space and children's play area. NHC ref. 23/00666/FP. To see the plans put the NHC ref. no. into the planning search box <https://pa2.north-herts.gov.uk/online-applications/>

Presentation by the applicant followed by questions and the expression of views.

It was noted that there would be a further meeting (also open to the public with an opportunity to speak), at the site, on Saturday 08 April at 10am when the Parish Council would agree its response to the NHC consultation.

The director of Jarvis Homes Ltd stated that they were a Hertfordshire based company and the intention was that they would develop the site themselves. Since the pre-application presentation

that they gave last November various technical reports had been submitted to NHC to address various constraints covering ecology, drainage, highways, heritage and archaeology. He noted that the land was in private ownership with occasional public use permitted by the landowner. The site was a meadow with currently not a high biodiversity score; the proposals would significantly increase this with a net gain of some 110%. The site lent itself to natural infill along the High Street. The development would open it up to the public and included public space. Within the proposals were three affordable units; as the whole of the site was included, this, and a section 106 claim, were a requirement. Also adding to the community benefit was a small children's play area. The applicant's architect added that the current layout had a revised access moved further from heritage assets, and the frontage had been opened up to provide a 'village green'. The properties were angled back to reflect key vistas; these included views to the church with fragmented views across the development. The site would be open to the public with the roadway forming a strong axis to the northern part. The proposals included a children's play area and informal community space as well as an area of high ecological value beyond. The dwellings were a mix of unit types with traditional architecture reflecting the conservation area. Houses were of two and two-and-a-half storeys with strong gable fronts. Materials and detailing were traditional. Integral photovoltaic panels were included as were air-source heat pumps. On-site drainage would be sustainable with connections resulting in improvements to existing infrastructure. Parking provision would meet required standards, for example at least three spaces for a 4-bedroom house one of which would be a garage. There would also be nine unallocated visitor spaces for the whole development.

The Chair, Graham Lee, invited questions and comments.

Cllr Martin Hoffman questioned the validity of the proposals as the site was outside the settlement boundary and also within the conservation area. The applicant responded that the latter did not preclude development. The NPPF allowed infill development and they believed that their proposals achieved a good balance. The site did not currently contribute to the community apart from the visual element; the benefits of the public access and the affordable housing would outweigh any potential negatives.

Comments and questions from the public:

- Open space protection. A planning application in the 1980's had resulted in a public enquiry at which the Inspector had ruled that the site would be 'open space in perpetuity'. The applicant responded that they believed their proposals outweighed the Inspector's decision.
- A locally significant plant. *Ornithogalum nutans* had been extant on the site for over 100 years - had this been considered? The applicant responded that their ecological report had not identified any species on the site as significant, however, he agreed that this could be safeguarded.
- Housing needs of the village. The Ashwell Neighbourhood Plan identified a very strong need for housing for young families and for older people downsizing – how many units were included and what would the price of them be? The applicant responded that the proposals met the requirements for a development of this size and included three affordable units, two 2-bedroom and one 3-bedroom. These would be sold to a housing association with a secured legal agreement and marketed, for rent or sale, in line with housing association requirements. A member of the public voiced their objection that the mix actually also included seven 5-bedroom and two 4-bedroom houses and this was profit motivated. The applicant responded that the numbers reflected their decision to achieve a lower density.

- Parking provision. Concern was expressed that only three parking spaces for a 5-bedroom house was unrealistic and therefore insufficient. The applicant responded that the numbers met the NHC guidelines; the provision of fewer parking spaces was in line with policy to encourage more people to walk and cycle.
- Traffic implications. Had the impact on the already significant traffic issues in the High Street been considered? In peak hours this was 'horrendous. The applicant responded that a detailed report had been included in the application documents and a study undertaken that demonstrated sufficient capacity. County Highways had been consulted as part of the application procedure.
- Public open space. What would be the arrangements for the ownership and management of the public open spaces? Was this really public open space and how would it be accessed? The applicant responded that a management company acting on behalf of the residents would be appointed. Access to the public spaces would be via the privately owned roadway but use of the public spaces would not be restricted to residents. This would be secured by a legal agreement for community benefit. An objection was made that the emphasis on the children's play area and the public open space was 'disingenuous'.
- Pre-application advice. What issues to be addressed had been raised by NHC at this stage? The applicant responded that these had included heritage, technical aspects, highways and ecology. NHC had suggested that 'some form of development' could be considered.
- Green space value of the existing site. A long-term local resident expressed the view that they were 'really struggling' to accept the applicants claim that their proposals would result in a significant positive gain. The loss of the existing space for wildlife and public enjoyment would be 'heart breaking'; too many similar spaces were being lost to development. The applicant responded that the limited public use that currently existed might not be continued by the landowner and this development would bring benefits of public open space, affordable housing and a section 106 contribution.

Comments and questions from parish councillors in addition to matters already raised by members of the public:

- Planning policy. Was the affordable housing provision in accordance with relevant planning policy? The applicant responded that the details of affordable housing would be a matter for the housing association who purchased the properties. How did the inclusion of the 5-bedroom houses sit with the policy requirement for smaller properties? The applicant responded that to achieve a viable scheme a balance had to be struck but part compliance would be achieved.
- The badger sett at the rear of the site. In response to a question the applicant stated that there would be a fence between this and the transition space.
- Electric vehicle charging points. In response to a question the applicant responded that these would be provided for the houses and for the visitor spaces in line with relevant policy.
- Design. Concerns were expressed re the layout and division of spaces within the site.
- Biodiversity gain. In response to a question the applicant stated that the details to support this were in the ecology report.

The Chair, Graham Lee, thanked the applicant's representatives for attending the meeting.
(both left the meeting at 8.15pm)

The Chair, Graham Lee, noted that the matter fundamentally came down to money. He was of the view that the landowner wished to recover their investment but that they were mindful of community views. As had been reported previously, he and the Vice-chair, Norton Mahy, had met a couple of times with the landowner some eighteen months ago but the latter had then ceased to engage. The Parish Council had formed the Ashwell CLT (Community Land Trust) Ltd specifically to enable the village to take a view on how land such as this might be used. This also meant that NHC, as the Local Planning Authority, should take into account the level of community interest. The site being outside the settlement boundary, within the conservation area, and the existence of the CLT were all points in favour of significant community benefit needing to be defined.

He stated that the Parish Council welcomed any and every input.

It was emphasised that members of the public should make their views known direct to NHC; the Parish Council should be copied so that parishioners' views could be taken into account when the council's own response to the consultation was being formulated.

87.2 Items on general village matters from parish councillors.

- 87.2.1 Matters of report from the Annual Parish Meeting held on 22 March. There had been a wide-ranging discussion on sustainability and the environment and a majority agreement in support of the Parish Council taking a local initiative.
- 87.2.2 North of Baldock development. It had only recently been learnt that the master developer appointed, Urban & Civic, had held a public exhibition on the likely impacts of the development on local communities; Ashwell residents had not been invited. A contact with Urban & Civic had been identified and an event specifically for Ashwell residents was to be requested. Matters of interest were likely to include school places, access to Baldock and the station, increase in through-traffic, etc.
- 87.2.3 Community Land Trust. A bank account had now been set up. Further publicity was to follow for a meeting in late May when £1 shares would be made available for purchase. *See the link for more information* <https://www.ashwell.gov.uk/news2/>
- 87.2.4 Ultrafast Broadband installation by Voneus. Cllr Vinny Hall and parishioner Al Jacoutot reported that this was now essentially finished with only a few loose ends to complete and Voneus were now making sales approaches to residents. A road closure of Mill Street was scheduled and there were a few locations where remedials were needed. The noise from the green cabinet at the top of Bear Lane was being investigated. *See the link for more information.*
<https://www.ashwell.gov.uk/news2/>
- 87.2.5 Moss Cottage Homes. It was noted that the Parish Council had the right to nominate four trustees for the charity. Parishioner John Ruch had been put forward by the trustees for one of the two current vacancies. This was formally proposed, seconded and agreed. It was noted that there was still one vacancy and proposals would be welcomed.
- 87.2.6 Village Hall trustees/re their proposal to change to an Incorporated Charitable Organisation and any future involvement of the Parish Council. It was reported that this was in the hands of the Village Hall.

87.3 Items from members of the public and village organisations.

87.3.1 Ashwell Springs/festival proposal. Cllr Martin Hoffman reported that approval for this was pending further details from the organisers.

87.4 Items from our district councillor Tom Tyson.

87.4.1 Dixies Meadow planning application. He noted that this had raised several policy related matters.

87.4.2 Speeding concerns. The local Neighbourhood Police team were very supportive of proposals to form a community DriveSafe group and had offered to lead a meeting for potential volunteers. A date and venue were to be scheduled and would be publicised.

87.4.3 Street-name signage/'Ashwell Street' broken. He had been in contact with the relevant NHC officer to get this replaced again. As these signs were ordered in batches he encouraged reporting of any others in need of replacement.

87.5 Items from our county councillor Steve Jarvis.

87.5.1 Safer routes to the station/update following the LCWIP (Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan) prioritization. He had attended a meeting with the NHC Transport Officer and the Cambridgeshire county councillor. A meeting with the landowner had been requested. He would continue to work to ensure that it remained designated as a 'Priority Route'; this had been a significant step forward. He believed it to be the only non-town route in the county so designated and this had been achieved due to the high level of interest expressed by local residents. Evidence of demand was crucial to achieving further progress; drafting of a survey that would provide data in a suitable format was ongoing. He would try to find the business case criteria for a cycle route as well as initiating a survey. The Parish Council committed to data collection for the survey. Funding remained a key issue; Cambridgeshire county council was unlikely to commit to a project that, although geographically in that county, would largely benefit Hertfordshire residents. Benefits to residents of the Mordens, and their involvement, had been factored in. In response to a question as to whether the safety aspect would add weight he stated that all arguments, sustainability, safety etc, had been made. To release funding there was a need to demonstrate the numbers who would benefit against the cost; this was always less easy in a rural area.

87.5.2 The Ruddery/the request to HCC rights of way for a change of status to improve safety. There had been some progress; Herts Highways were now dealing with the objections that had been raised and locations for the barriers were to be identified.

87.5.3 New footway in Station Road as a condition of planning permission for Townsend Meadow. NHC ref. 19/00455/FP. There was still nothing positive to report. The developer had little incentive to complete the work and it was unknown whether NHC would commit to enforcement action. He would continue to pursue the matter.

87.5.4 Hodwell drains update. The new owner of The Old Rectory was to undertake work on the ditch. The Highways contractor was to undertake a survey and clear the pipe; they would then consider what further action was needed. He recognised the importance of getting the matter resolved.

- 87.5.5 War Memorial junction/proposals for bollards and/or planters. There was nothing further to report on this.
- 87.5.6 Speed and volume surveys as follow-up to the 20mph limit installation. Data was now in hand for three sites in Back Street, West End and Lucas Lane. The first two of these had shown a reduction in average speeds and the latter similar to previously. There were still a few drivers with much higher speeds. There was no one solution to speeding and initiatives such as DriveSafe would help. He would follow up on the proposals for additional '20mph' roundels in Lucas Lane. A parishioner repeated their proposal for a change of priority at the junction of Back Street and West End. This would be looked into and also the suggestion for something adjacent to the Village Hall entrance. A request was also made that HCC assessed speeding traffic along Kingsland Way.
- 87.5.7 Road surface concerns. The pothole outside the Rose & Crown that had now been repaired twice would be looked into. Patching on the A505 was scheduled.

87.6 Items from working groups.

- 87.6.1 Village Litter Pick, Saturday 15 April 2pm, meeting at the Rec. See the link for more information <https://www.ashwell.gov.uk/news2/litter-picking-satur/>
- 87.6.2 Village Sports Day, Sunday 21 May on the Rec.

End of Open Forum/Standing Orders reinstated.

88 MONTHLY REPORTS ON PREMISES/OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES FROM PARISH COUNCILLORS.

- 88.1 Recreation Ground/upgrade of play equipment and Queen's Platinum Jubilee Pavilion. Communication with NHC for clarification on the availability of section 106 funds was still ongoing. There was still a need for further fundraising. *See the link for more information.* <https://www.ashwell.gov.uk/news2/fundraising-for-the/>
- 88.2 The Springs. Cllr Martin Hoffman gave an update following the site visit to brief Greening Ashwell on general matters and the volunteer works that they were to undertake.

89 PLANNING MATTERS See summary in Appendix A below. See also 87.1 above.

Full documents are available on the NHC website. Put the NHC ref. no. into the planning search box <https://pa2.north-herts.gov.uk/online-applications/>

- 89.1 New consultations received from North Herts Council/ to agree responses. *See also 87.1 above.*

- 89.1.1 Valentine House, Partridge Hill. NHC ref. 23/00548/FPH. Erection of double garage with ancillary storage and usable roof space. It was noted that the proposed garage conversion would not compromise the adequate provision of on-site parking or vehicular egress from the property.

A proposal was made, and agreed, that a response 'no objections' be made to the NHC Planning Officer.

Action: Deputy Clerk

- 89.1.2 Land to the west of 35 Ashwell Street. NHC ref. 21/02650/FP. Erection of two detached 4-bedroom dwellings and double garages, including alterations to existing vehicular access (as amended by plans received 18.03.23). It was noted that concerns

Signed	Date
--------	------

raised previously re the ridge height and the position of one of the garages had been addressed. The NHC Planning Officer was applauded for his efforts in working to address the concerns raised. A proposal was made, and agreed, that a response 'no objections' be made to the NHC Planning Officer but to include a request that a condition be imposed for the retention of the existing boundary hedges to protect existing views and the preservation of the ecological benefit. **Action: Deputy Clerk**

89.1.3 9 Springhead. NHC ref. 23/00530/FPH. Single storey rear and single storey side extension, as resubmission of application ref 23/00138/FPH. The objection from the neighbour on the NHC website was noted. A proposal was made, and agreed, that a response 'no objections' be made to the NHC Planning Officer. **Action: Deputy Clerk**

89.1.4 1 Claybush Hill Meadow. NHC ref. 23/0462/FPH. Conversion of garage into habitable accommodation, including replacement of garage doors with 2 large windows and brickwork. It was noted that adequate parking provision would not be compromised. A proposal was made, and agreed, that a response 'no objections' be made to the NHC Planning Officer. **Action: Deputy Clerk**

89.1.5 11 Claybush Hill Meadow. NHC ref. 23/00588/FPH. Erection of front porch, two storey rear extension with Juliet balcony to first floor and replace existing rear fenestration following demolition of existing porch canopy. A proposal was made, and agreed, that a response 'no objections' be made to the NHC Planning Officer. **Action: Deputy Clerk**

89.1.6 Land to the east of 5, Ashwell Street. NHC ref. 23/00718/FP. Full Planning Permission: Erection of one detached 3-bedroom dwelling with associated garage/carport including landscaping and creation of vehicular access off Ashwell Street. A proposal was made, and agreed, that a response 'no objections' be made to the NHC Planning Officer. **Action: Deputy Clerk**

89.2 Tree consultations (Conservation Area and TPO) received from NHC.

89.2.1 55 Silver Street. NHC ref. 23/00325/TCA. Felling 5 maples (amended consultation). The Chair, Graham Lee, reported that to enable deadlines to be met a 'no objections' response had already been made to this.

89.3 Updates on previous planning applications, developments ongoing. *See 87.5.3 above.*

90 FINANCE AND GOVERNANCE MATTERS

90.1 Budget tracking and the monthly summary of accounts. The latter was agreed and it was noted that the budget tracking would form part of the end of year documents that would be submitted for audit.

90.2 Grass cutting contract update. It was reported that, following the tendering exercise, two suppliers were still under consideration. A proposal was made to accept the cheaper option on a one-year contract including a performance related clause; two good references had been received. This was agreed. **Action: Deputy Clerk**

90.3 Update on banking issues. It was noted that the significant issues due to errors by Santander had now been resolved.

Meeting closed: 9.40pm Next monthly Parish Council meeting – Wed 17 May, 7pm in the Parish Room and on Zoom.

Appendix A: Planning summary

Summary of consultations on recent planning and listed building applications received from NHC up to 08 April 2023

To view all the documents relating to an application put the NHC reference number into the planning search box
<https://pa2.north-herts.gov.uk/online-applications/>

Address	Application ref. Number	Brief description	Ashwell PC response to NHC consultation (see also minute reference)	North Herts Decision
Dixies Meadow High Street	23/00666/FP	Erection of 12 dwellings with associated hard and soft landscaping, creation of vehicular access, public open space and children's play area.	Objection. See minutes Planning C'ttee 08 Apr. Also April Council 87.1	Pending
Valentine House Partridge Hill	23/00548/FPH	Erection of double garage with ancillary storage and usable roof space	No objection. April Council meeting 89.1.1	Pending
Land to the west of 35 Ashwell Street	21/02650/FP	<u>March: new consultation on further amendments</u> Full Planning Permission : Erection of two detached 4-bedroom dwellings and double garages, including alterations to existing vehicular access (as amended by plans received 18.03.23).	No objection. April Council meeting 89.1.2 <i>Previous response to NHC: Objection</i> <i>See July council 2022, 25.1.1</i>	Pending
9 Springhead	23/00530/FPH	Single storey rear and single storey side extension, as resubmission of application ref 23/00138/FPH	No objection. April Council meeting 89.1.3	Pending
1 Claybush Hill Meadow	23/00462/FPH	Conversion of garage into habitable accommodation, including replacement of garage doors with 2 large windows and brickwork.	No objection. April Council meeting 89.1.4	Pending
11 Claybush Hill Meadow	23/00588/FPH	Erection of front porch, two storey rear extension with Juliet balcony to first floor and replace existing rear fenestration following demolition of existing porch canopy.	No objection. April Council meeting 89.1.5	Pending
Land to the east of 5, Ashwell Street	23/00718/FP	Erection of one detached 3-bedroom dwelling with associated garage/carport including landscaping and creation of vehicular access off Ashwell Street	No objection. April Council meeting 89.1.6	Pending
74 High Street	22/00206/LDCLB	Reroute existing boiler flue	N/A	Lawful works
Baldwins Corner, Green Lane	23/00242/FPH	Two storey front and side extensions and single storey side and rear extensions, erection of side elevation conservatory and alterations to doors and windows of existing detached garage to link to main house and to facilitate conversion of garage into habitable accommodation, following demolition of existing conservatory and basement below.	No objection. March Council meeting 82.1.1	Permission Granted 15/3
1 Townsend Meadow	22/03261/FPH	Single storey front and side extension. Re-consultation on amended plans received (see below)	No objection. March Council meeting 82.1.2	Permission Granted 13/3
Bluegates Farm, Loves Lane	23/00369/FP	Installation of agricultural silage clamp and concrete apron.	No objection. March Council meeting 82.1.3	Pending
9 Springhead	23/00138/FPH	Full Permission Householder: Two storey rear and single storey side extension	No objection. February Council meeting 76.2.1	Withdrawn. New application submitted ref 23/00530/FPH
86 High Street	23/00091/FPH	Full Permission Householder: Single storey rear extension, insertion of bay window to existing ground floor side elevation and erection of side elevation garden wall with a timber gate following demolition of single storey rear extension.	No objection. February Council meeting 76.2.2	Permission Granted 7/3
Land Between Hunts Ridge And Ashwell House no 5, High Street (Known as Hunts Close)	22/03094/FP	Erection of 14 dwellings including creation of access from Ashwell Street, footpath link to Lucas Lane, associated infrastructure, public open space and landscaping	Objection. See January Council meeting 69.1.1 AND Planning Committee meeting (Sat 07 at 10am)	Pending
Land West of Ashwell Road, Bygrave SG7 5EB	22/00741/FP	<u>New consultation on amendments</u> Full Planning Permission: Ground mounted solar photovoltaic (PV) farm including battery energy storage; continued agricultural use, ancillary infrastructure, security fencing, landscaping provision, ecological enhancements and associated works.	Objection. January Council meeting 69.1.1 <i>Previous response to NHC: Objection</i> <i>See June Council 2022,</i>	Pending
5 Swan Street	22/02966/FPH and 22/02967/LBC	Erection of front entrance canopy and side elevation porch to include conversion of existing shed into habitable accommodation following demolition of front brick wall. Additional for Listed Building Consent: Internal alterations consisting of replacing existing attic staircase and re-tank the basement.	December Council 62.1.6 No objection. Lack of off-street parking noted.	Pending

Appendix B: Accounts summary

ACCOUNTS - Summary for 1st to 31st March 2023					
RECEIPTS					£
65	Nevilles Funeral Services	Rent for Chapel of Rest (February)			68.75
	Santander	Reserve Account Interest			22.81
66	Wright Accounts	Yearbook Advertising - AYB13			45.00
67	Elisa Luggeri Garden design	Yearbook Advertising - AYB28			45.00
68	Ashwell Garage	Yearbook Advertising - AYB12			85.00
69	Scorpion Pest & Vermin Control	Yearbook Advertising - AYB21			85.00
70	Bugs 'nThings Pest	Yearbook Advertising - AYB9			85.00
71	Edworth Manor	Yearbook Advertising - AYB15			45.00
72	Crumps	Yearbook Advertising - AYB3			155.00
73	Berry Account	Yearbook Advertising - AYB17			85.00
74	SJS Plumbing	Yearbook Advertising - AYB18			155.00
75	Dunton Lodge Farm Weddings	Yearbook Advertising - AYB33			155.00
76	Kate Cumins	Yearbook Advertising - AYB32			45.00
77	Aqua Sensation Water Softeners	Yearbook Advertising - AYB24			260.00
78	Paul Norman Painter & Decorator	Yearbook Advertising - AYB16			45.00
79	Bygrave Firewood	Yearbook Advertising - AYB25			120.00
80	Transfer from reserve account	Transfer from reserve account			5,000.00
81	Santander	Banking adjust for compliant			200.00
82	Bradley's Hairdressers	Yearbook Advertising - AYB37			155.00
83	Heat Street Ltd	Yearbook Advertising - AYB23			260.00
				Total	£7,116.56
PAYMENTS			£ less VAT	VAT	£ inc VAT
236	Duo Creative Services Ltd	Website monthly Fee (Mar)	25.00	5.00	30.00
237	North Herts Council	Annual dog waste bins collections	1,118.70	223.74	1342.44
238	Wright Accounts	Bookkeeping February 2023 -inv 1025	227.50	0.00	227.50
239	EMG Ltd	Hedge row at the Recreation ground - 10488	2,685.90	537.18	3223.08
240	Ruggles Plumbing & Heating Ltd	Repairs at pavilion - Inv 2395	180.00	36.00	216.00
241	Eon Next	Pavilion Electric 21/02/23-28/02/23	153.77	7.69	161.46
242	SLCC	Annual Membership	222.00	0.00	222.00
243	Eon Next	Toilets Electric 01/02/23-28/02/23	28.21	1.41	29.62
244	Deputy Clerk	Reimbursement of postage	124.85	0.00	124.85
245	Clerk	Reimbursement of postage	29.91	1.50	31.41
246	Brilliant Lawns	Invoice 10796 For treatment at Recreation grounds	508.50	0.00	508.50
247	Altone Limited	Yearbook art work - Inv 75141	320.00	64.00	384.00
248	Altone Limited	Yearbook printing - Inv 75142	4,665.00	0.00	4665.00
249	HCC Pensions-LGPS Account	Clerk Pension to LGPS	530.22	0.00	530.22
250	HMRC accounts ref 321PF00003624	tax&NI to Inland Revenue	506.86	0.00	506.86
251	Ashwell Primary School	Hall Hire AGM 22/3/23 Inv 27	54.00	0.00	54.00
252	E-on Next	Pavilion Electric 21/02/23-28/02/23	161.46	0.00	161.46
	Transfer From Deposit account to Current account		5,000.00	0.00	5000.00
253	Environmental Cleansing Officer	Environmental Cleansing Officer salary	244.00	0.00	244.00
254	Grounds Officer	Grounds Officer salary	175.60	0.00	175.60
255	Deputy Clerk	Deputy Clerk Salary	599.04	0.00	599.04
256	Clerk	Clerk salary	1,527.69	0.00	1527.69
			19,088.21	876.52	
				Total	£19,964.73
MONEY AT BANK					
		Current Account 31/03/2023	1,614.52		
		Reserve Account 31/03/2023	47,901.10		
		Total cash	£49,515.62		

Signed

Date